

# REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE LAWRENCE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

March 31, 2001

# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE LAWRENCE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

#### March 31, 2001

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes for Lawrence County Sheriff as of March 31, 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

### **Financial Condition:**

The Sheriff collected net taxes of \$2,740,005 for the districts for 2000 taxes. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$2,739,489 to the districts for 2000 Taxes. Tax refunds of \$1,860 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

#### **Unpaid Obligations:**

The Sheriff owes \$5,328 in advertising costs to the fiscal court and \$6,705 in 10% add-on fees to the Sheriff's fee account.

#### **Report Comments:**

None.

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES	3
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9



# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable David Compton, Lawrence County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bobby Workman, Lawrence County Sheriff
Members of the Lawrence County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Lawrence County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of March 31, 2001. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Lawrence County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Lawrence County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of March 31, 2001, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in the preceding paragraph.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable David Compton, Lawrence County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bobby Workman, Lawrence County Sheriff
Members of the Lawrence County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated January 11, 2002, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - January 11, 2002

## LAWRENCE COUNTY BOBBY WORKMAN, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

## March 31, 2001

	,						
Special							
Cou	inty Taxes	Taxing Districts		Sc	hool Taxes	State Taxes	
\$	366,322	\$	344,102	\$	1,054,111	\$	351,370
	42,267		29,247		92,255		68,004
							20,225
	5,634						
	141		119		406		135
	849		716		2,444		815
	143,196		115,566		345,538		
	3,665		3,092		10,547		3,516
	8,885		7,495		25,567		8,522
	6,365		5,727		17,755		6,728
	5		(2)		7		188
\$	577,329	\$	506,062	\$	1,548,630	\$	459,503
Φ	7.002	Φ	6 505	Ф	20.224	Ф	7,883
Φ	,	Φ		Ф		Φ	7,863 5,567
	3,212		4,047		14,207		3,307
	27 110		24.972		77 211		25,770
							1,702
	632		369		1,839		
							1,644
\$	40,266	\$	36,613	\$	113,601	\$	42,566
\$	537,063	\$	469,449	\$	1,435,029	\$	416,937
	23,113		19,952		57,401		18,007
\$	513,950	\$	449,497	\$	1,377,628	\$	398,930
	513,943		449,542		1,377,481		398,523
	420		353		1,196		407
	\$ \$ \$	\$ 7,092 5,212 \$ 40,266 \$ 537,063 23,113 \$ 513,950 513,943	\$ 366,322 \$ 42,267  5,634  141 849 143,196 3,665 8,885 6,365 5  \$ 577,329 \$  \$ 7,092 \$ 5,212  27,110 852  \$ 40,266 \$  \$ 537,063 23,113  \$ 513,950 513,943	County Taxes       Taxing Districts         \$ 366,322       \$ 344,102         42,267       29,247         5,634       111         141       119         849       716         143,196       115,566         3,665       3,092         8,885       7,495         6,365       5,727         5       (2)         \$ 577,329       \$ 506,062         \$ 7,092       \$ 6,505         5,212       4,647         27,110       24,872         852       589         \$ 40,266       \$ 36,613         \$ 537,063       \$ 469,449         23,113       19,952         \$ 513,950       \$ 449,497         513,943       449,542	County Taxes       Taxing Districts       Sc         \$ 366,322       \$ 344,102       \$ 42,267         \$ 5,634       \$ 29,247         \$ 5,634       \$ 119         \$ 49       716         \$ 143,196       \$ 115,566         \$ 3,665       \$ 3,092         \$ 8,885       7,495         \$ 6,365       \$ 5,727         \$ 5 (2)       \$ 577,329         \$ 577,329       \$ 506,062         \$ 5,212       \$ 4,647         27,110       \$ 24,872         \$ 852       \$ 589         \$ 40,266       \$ 36,613         \$ 537,063       \$ 469,449       \$ 23,113         \$ 513,950       \$ 449,497       \$ 513,943	County Taxes         Taxing Districts         School Taxes           \$ 366,322         \$ 344,102         \$ 1,054,111           42,267         29,247         92,255           5,634         141         119         406           849         716         2,444           143,196         115,566         345,538           3,665         3,092         10,547           8,885         7,495         25,567           6,365         5,727         17,755           5         (2)         7           \$ 577,329         \$ 506,062         \$ 1,548,630           \$ 7,092         \$ 6,505         \$ 20,224           5,212         4,647         14,207           27,110         24,872         77,311           852         589         1,859           \$ 40,266         \$ 36,613         \$ 113,601           \$ 537,063         \$ 469,449         \$ 1,435,029           23,113         19,952         57,401           \$ 513,950         \$ 449,497         \$ 1,377,628           513,943         449,542         1,377,481	County Taxes         Taxing Districts         School Taxes         State           \$ 366,322         \$ 344,102         \$ 1,054,111         \$           \$ 42,267         29,247         92,255         \$           5,634         141         119         406         406           849         716         2,444         40,542         40,542         40,542         40,542         40,542         10,547         40,542         40,542         40,266         \$         3,092         10,547         40,542         40,542         17,755         40,542         40,542         40,542         \$         40,266         \$         6,505         \$         20,224         \$         \$           \$ 7,092         \$ 6,505         \$ 20,224         \$         <

(413) \$

(398) \$

(1,049) \$

0

Refunds Due Sheriff

as of Completion of Fieldwork

<sup>\*</sup> and \*\* See Page 4

LAWRENCE COUNTY BOBBY WORKMAN, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES March 31, 2001 (Continued)

#### \* Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 1,413,450 4% on \$ 1,435,028

## \*\* Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ (134)
Health District	(96)
Extension District	(84)
Soil District	(34)
Fire and Rescue District	 (50)
Refunds Due Sheriff	\$ (398)

# LAWRENCE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

March 31, 2001

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of March 31, 2001, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

LAWRENCE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT March 31, 2001 (Continued)

#### Note 4. Tax Collection Period

#### A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2000. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2001. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 25, 2000 through March 31, 2001.

#### B. Unmined Coal Taxes

The tangible property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2000. Property taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 23, 2000 through March 31, 2001.

#### Note 5. Interest Income

The Lawrence County Sheriff earned \$5,257 as interest income on 2000 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Lawrence County Sheriff collected \$ 24,884 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of January 11, 2002, the Sheriff owes \$6,705 in 10% add-on fees to his fee account.

#### Note 7. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Lawrence County Sheriff collected \$5,328 of advertising costs and \$3,055 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The advertising fees were used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of January 11, 2002, the Sheriff owes \$5,328 in advertising costs to the county.

#### Note 8. Unrefundable Duplicate Payments And Unexplained Receipts Should Be Escrowed

The Sheriff should deposit any unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts in an interest-bearing account. According to KRS 393.110, the Sheriff should properly report annually to the Treasury Department any unclaimed moneys. After seven years, if the funds have not been claimed, the funds should be submitted to the Kentucky State Treasurer. For the 2000 taxes, the Sheriff had \$5,648 in unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts. Therefore, the Sheriff should send a written report to the Treasury Department.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable David Compton, Lawrence County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bobby Workman, Lawrence County Sheriff
Members of the Lawrence County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Lawrence County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of March 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated January 11, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Lawrence County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of March 31, 2001 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Lawrence County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - January 11, 2002